Can Psychology Make It

Nancy Mroczek PhD ©Mroczek/Intelligent Media 1989

It is a startling to observe the cloister, recluse, and quiet unobtrusiveness psychologists, a group whose doctoral course of study and beyond is scientifically exacting, critical, profound, and essential.

The question arises: Do psychologists comprehend the knowledge they engender?

All human services assume elements from psychology. But psychologists acquiesce to limitation on role significance in human service, research, and health. Salaries and rates paid to psychologists aside the parallel profession of medical doctor are ridiculous and low. It is logically inappropriate to market the benefit, desirability, or importance of psychology as 'value for the dollar'. Acceptance of psychology in hospitals, traditional medicine, the laboratory, academia, or anywhere is one issue and a separate issue is worth. Psychologists should be ever vigilant and focused to the fact that professionals who study less long and/or less hard frequently make the same, greater, or very much greater salaries than they. Imbalance and inequity must be addressed.

Psychologists are to be faulted for their push-over persuasions to biological materialism - the precarious, misleadingly incomplete, malformed but convenient swindle of the mind. Discipline outside of psychology exerts undue influence on the ultimately subjective refusal to undertake perplexion, intricacy, reality, life, behavior, consciousness , and experience, none of which share identity with matter. Psychologists are quick to escape with the herd.

Psychiatric medical doctors, indoctrinated to pharmacological treatment and review (of great financial importance to drug commodity markets) are often least able to apprehend the intricacies and inconspicuous realities of life force, field, environment, and transitory, sometimes seemingly obscure, perceptibility. Ironically many and varied medical practitioners give unofficial but de facto implicit acknowledgement to psychological necessity and primacy. Further, it is incumbent on psychologists to be sensitive and genuinely disposed to the life they encounter and study. Unmitigated regard is requisite to compelling and remarkable psychological skill.

Within divisions of psychology, persons war each other and jealously protect turf in a fashion analogous to that of psychiatry against psychology. Clinical psychologists do not accept experimental psychologists into their domain. Clinical psychologists today borrow everything from fields of experimental knowledge. At the same time they

deny ability, access, or expertise in human behavior to those who originate, e.g., behavioral ideas.

Psychology must grow up. In so doing it must take special care to comport itself forcefully, candidly, directly, being ever wary of succumbing to pitfalls, frailties, and vulnerabilities it exists to know. Psychology is the science and understanding that it is. Psychologists should neither abide by nor desist from warring disciplines, insurance companies, etc. Experimental psychologists should neither abide nor accept clinical discrimination.